

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,899	11/13/2003	Joun Ho Lee	8733.275.20-US 6109		
30827	7590 05/22/2006		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			NGUYEN, HOAN C		
1900 K STRE WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ø			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b></b>			
Office Action Summers	10/705,899	LEE ET AL.	·			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TL. MAN ING DAYS FULL	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. tely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	oril 2006.					
·_ · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	·		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 24-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 24-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · ·		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau		.1				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)		(DTG 445)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/26/2006 has been entered.

Claims 2-23 are cancelled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 24-25 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Asai (US5610736A)** and view of Takeda et al. (US 6724452 B1).

Asai teaches (Fig. 1 and 4-5) teaches a muti-domain liquid crystal display device comprising:

Claim 1:

Application/Control Number: 10/705,899 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

first and second substrates 60/64;

a liquid crystal layer 74 between the first and second substrates;

a plurality of data lines 59 for inherently applying a data signal on the first

substrate;

a plurality of gate lines 51 for inherently applying a gate signal, the gate lines

crossing the data lines to define a plurality of pixel regions,

a thin film transistor (TFT) near each crossing of the gate lines and the data lines;

a common electrode 67 on the second substrate

pixel electrode 4/10 connected to a drain electrode of the thin film transistor in

each pixel region

an auxiliary electrode line (an elongated electrode 52) electrically connected to at

least one of the gate lines in each pixel region, the auxiliary electrode line and

the auxiliary electrode line and the multi-domain structure distorting an electric

field applied between the common electrode and the pixel electrode to thereby

form at least two domains in each pixel region (col. 7 line 42 to col. 8 line 11).

wherein

the auxiliary electrode line is formed between the pixel electrode and the data

line at an outside of the pixel electrode in the pixel region and the auxiliary

electrode is overlapped with the pixel electrode.

Claim 24:

The common electrode includes an opening area at Lsp2.

Claim 32:

• The auxiliary electrode line is elongated from gate line 51, thereby the auxiliary electrode line is formed in the same layer as the gate lines.

However, Asai fails to disclose forming (a) each pixel region has a multi-domain structure which includes a dielectric structure or slit and (b) the a dielectric structure is on the second substrate as claim 25 cited.

Takeda et al. teach (Figs. 12A-C) forming (a) each pixel region has a multidomain structure which includes a dielectric structure or slit and (b) the a dielectric structure is on the second substrate for realizing the domain regulating means (col. 21 lines 1-3)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a multi-domain liquid crystal display device as Asai disclosed with forming (a) each pixel region has a multi-domain structure which includes a dielectric structure or slit and (b) the a dielectric structure is on the second substrate for realizing the domain regulating means as taught by Takada et al. (col. 21 lines 1-3).

2. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Asai (US5610736A)** and view of Takeda et al. (US 6724452 B1) as applied to claims 1, 24-25 and 32 above, in view of Yamamoto et al. (US5657100A).

Asai fails to disclose a multi-domain liquid crystal display device wherein the liquid crystal layer has a positive dielectric anisotropy (claim 26) or negative dielectric anisotropy (claim 27).

Yamamoto et al. teach a liquid crystal display device wherein the liquid crystal layer has a positive dielectric anisotropy for obtaining high contrast ratio (col. 5 lines 22-31) or the liquid crystal layer has negative dielectric anisotropy for obtaining low contrast ratio (col. 7 lines 14-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a multi-domain liquid crystal display device as Asai al disclosed with the liquid crystal layer has a positive dielectric anisotropy for obtaining high contrast ratio as taught by Yamamoto (col. 5 lines 22-31) or the liquid crystal layer has negative dielectric anisotropy for obtaining low contrast ratio as taught by Yamamoto (col. 7 lines 14-21).

3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Asai** (US5610736A) and view of Takeda et al. (US 6724452 B1) as applied to claims 1, 24-25 and 32 above, in view of Patel (US5841500A).

Asai et al fail to disclose a multi-domain liquid crystal display device with the liquid crystal layer including a chiral dopant.

Patel teaches a multi-domain liquid crystal display device with the liquid crystal layer including a chiral dopant for breaking the symmetry by inducing the twist only in one helical direction, and to thereby avoiding scattering from different <u>domains</u>. This solution is well known in the prior art (col. 3 lines 42-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a multi-domain liquid crystal display device as Asai disclosed with the liquid crystal layer including a chiral dopant for

Art Unit: 2871

breaking the symmetry by inducing the twist only in one helical direction, and to thereby avoiding scattering from different domains as taught by Patel (col. 3 lines 42-47).

4. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asai (US5610736A) and view of Takeda et al. (US 6724452 B1) as applied to claims 1, 24-25 and 32 above, in view of Takatori et al. (US5796456).

Asai fail to disclose a multi-domain liquid crystal display device with the phase differential film includes a negative uniaxial film (claim 30) or a negative biaxial film (claim 31).

Takatori et al. teach a multi-domain liquid crystal display device with the phase differential film includes a negative uniaxial film (col. 3 lines 61-67) or a negative biaxial film (col. 4 lines 1-16) for widening viewing-angle and increasing contrast ratio (col. 1 lines 5-9 or col. 3 lines 34-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a multi-domain liquid crystal display device as Asai disclosed with a multi-domain liquid crystal display device with the phase differential film includes a negative uniaxial film (col. 3 lines 61-67) or a negative biaxial film (col. 4 lines 1-16) for widening viewing-angle and increasing contrast ratio as taught by Takatori et al. (col. 1 lines 5-9 or col. 3 lines 34-43).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,899 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn

ALL SUITES ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER